Sparse Subspace Clustering

Paper Presentation (EE698M)

Abhay Kumar

 Cluster data drawn from <u>multiple low-dimensional</u> linear or affine subspaces embedded in a <u>high-dimensional space</u>



Subspace clustering : Purpose

 Separate data into subspaces

 Find low-dimensional representations



Various Methodology:

- K-subspaces
 - Assigns points to subspaces \rightarrow Fit subspace to each cluster \rightarrow Iterate
 - Drawback: Requires Number and dimensions of subspaces to be known
- Statistical approaches such as Mixture of Probabilistic PCA, Multi-stage Learning
 - Assuming each subspace has Gaussian distribution \rightarrow subspace estimation by EM
 - Drawback: Requires Number and dimensions of subspaces to be known
- Factorisation based methods
 - low-rank factorization of the data matrix
 - segmentation by thresholding the entries of a similarity matrix
- Generalized Principal Component Analysis (GPCA)
 - fit the data with a polynomial whose gradient at a point gives a vector normal to the subspace containing that point
- Information theoretic approaches, such as Agglomerative Lossy Compression (ALC)
 - Model each subspace as degenerate Gaussian → segment data so as to minimise the coding length needed to fit these points with the mixture of Gaussians

Challenges:

- Intersecting subspaces
- noise, outliers, missing entries
- Computational complexity: NP hard (non-deterministic polynomialtime)
- <u>Knowledge of dimension/number of subspaces</u>

Sparse representation in a single subspace

• Sparse representation in a single subspace

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{D} s_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \Psi \boldsymbol{s} \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{x} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{D}, \quad \Psi = [\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_2, \, \cdots, \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_D] \quad \{ \boldsymbol{\psi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D} \}_{i=1}^{D}, \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D} \}_{i=1}^{D}$$

- In many cases \boldsymbol{x} can have a sparse representation in a properly chosen basis Ψ .
- we do not measure x directly. Instead, we measure m linear combinations of entries of x of the form $y_i = \phi_i^T x$ $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

•
$$\boldsymbol{y} = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_m]^\top = \Phi \boldsymbol{x} = \Phi \Psi \boldsymbol{s} = A \boldsymbol{s}$$

where $\Phi = [\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times D}$ is called the measurement matrix.

- one can recover K-sparse signals/vectors if $K \lesssim m/\log(D/m)$.
- Optimisation problem: $\min \|s\|_0$ subject to y = As,

Sparse representation in a union of subspaces

- Let $\{A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d_i}\}_{i=1}^n$: set of bases for n disjoint linear subspaces
- $y = As = [A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n] [s_1^{\top}, s_2^{\top}, \cdots, s_n^{\top}]^{\top}$
- What if y belong to i-th subspace ??
- Optimisation Problems:-

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1(||s_i||_2 > 0) \quad \text{subject to} \quad y = As,$$
$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||s_i||_2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad y = As$$

Clustering linear subspaces:

- Known:
 - Sparsifying basis for the union of subspaces given by the data matrix
- Unknown:
 - not have any basis for any of the subspaces
 - don't know which data belong to which subspace
 - don't know total number of subspaces

- Assume:
 - $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$: n-independent linear subspaces (unknown Θ)
 - $\{y_j \in \mathbb{R}^D\}_{j=1}^N$: N data points collected from union of subspaces (known \bigcirc)
 - $\{d_i \ll D\}_{i=1}^n$: unknown dimensions of n-subspaces.
 - $\{A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d_i}\}_{i=1}^n$: unknown bases for n-subspaces.
- Represent data matrix as $Y = [y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N] = [Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Y_n] \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$

where $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N_i}$; $N = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is <u>unknown permutation matrix</u> that specifies the segmentation of data

- Let $s = \Gamma^{-1}[s_1^\top, s_2^\top, \cdots, s_n^\top]^\top$ where $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i}$
- If a point y is a new data point in S_i ? \rightarrow $s_i \neq 0$ and $s_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.
- Optimisation problem:

 $\min \|s\|_0$ subject to y = Ys

• Let $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N-1}$ be the matrix obtained from Y by removing *i*-th column, y_i .

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{y}_i = Y_i \boldsymbol{c}_i.$$

- The optimal solution $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ has non-zero entries corresponding to the columns in Y_i that lie in the same subspace as y_i
- Insert zero at i-th row of c_i to make it N-dimensional $\hat{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$
- Solve for each point i = 1, ..., N
- Finally obtained a matrix of coefficients $C = [\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \cdots, \hat{c}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$

sparse representation comes from same subspace

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{y}_i = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{c}_i, \ c_{ii} = 0$$
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{y}_i = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{c}_i, \ c_{ii} = 0$$

solve the sparse optimization

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{y}_i = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{c}_i, \ c_{ii} = 0 \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{c}_i = \begin{bmatrix} c_{i1} \\ c_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{iN} \end{bmatrix}$$

SSC algorithm

- 1: solve the sparse optimization

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{y}_i = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{c}_i, \ \boldsymbol{c}_{ii} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}_i = \begin{bmatrix} c_{i1} \\ c_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{iN} \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2: infer clustering from similarity graph
 - connect points using sparse weights
 - symmetrize the weights $w_{ij} = c_{ij} + c_{ji}$
 - apply spectral clustering



- All vertices representing data points in the same subspace form a <u>connected component</u> in the graph G = (V, E) where vertices V are the N data points and there is an edge $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ when $C_{ji} \neq 0$.
- In case of n-subspaces

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{C}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{C}_n \end{bmatrix} \Gamma$$

• a new graph \tilde{G} with the adjacency matrix \tilde{C} where $\tilde{C}_{ij} = |C_{ij}| + |C_{ji}|$

• Laplacian matrix of

 \tilde{G} is then formed by $L = D - \tilde{C}$ where $D \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is a diagonal matrix with $D_{ii} = \sum_{j} \tilde{C}_{ij}$.

• Result from graph theory:-

The multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix L corresponding to the graph \tilde{G} is equal to the number of connected components of the graph. Also, the components of the graph can be determined from the eigenspace of the zero eigenvalue. More

 Segmentation of data by applying k-means to a subset of eigenvectors of the Laplacian



Figure 1. Sparse coefficients used to define the graph similarity matrix for three sequences: 1R2TRCT-g12, cars9, and articulated.



Figure 2. Similarity graphs for three sequences: 1R2TRCT-g12, cars9, and articulated.

- Similar extension for affine subspaces
- For noisy data (noise level bounded by ϵ .) :-

min $\|\boldsymbol{c}_i\|_1$ subject to $\|Y_{\hat{i}}\boldsymbol{c}_i - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_i\|_2 \leq \epsilon$.

• For noisy data (noise level unknown)

 $\min \|\boldsymbol{c}_{i}\|_{1} + \gamma \|Y_{\hat{i}}\boldsymbol{c}_{i} - \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i}\|_{2}$

- For missing or corrupted data
 - Very similar approach as "Inpainting"

Results: motion segmentation

 motion segmentation problem, we consider the Hopkins 155 dataset, which consists of 155 video sequences of 2 or 3 motions corresponding to 2 or 3 low-dimensional subspaces in each video

Table 1. Classification errors $(\%)$ for sequences with 2 motions											
	Method	GPCA	LSA	RANSAC	MSL	ALC	SSC-B	SSC-N			
	Checkerboard: 78 sequences										
	Mean	6.09	2.57	6.52	4.46	1.55	0.83	1.12			
	Median	1.03	0.27	1.75	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00			
	Traffic: 31 sequences										
	Mean	1.41	5.43	2.55	2.23	1.59	0.23	0.02			
	Median	0.00	1.48	0.21	0.00	1.17	0.00	0.00			
	Articulated: 11 sequences										
	Mean	2.88	4.10	7.25	7.23	10.70	1.63	0.62			
	Median	0.00	1.22	2.64	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00			
	All: 120 sequences										
	Mean	4.59	3.45	5.56	4.14	2.40	0.75	0.82			
	Median	0.38	0.59	1.18	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00			

. . . .

Table 2. Classification errors (76) for sequences with 5 motions											
Method	GPCA	LSA	RANSAC	MSL	ALC	SSC-B	SSC-N				
Checkerboard: 26 sequences											
Mean	31.95	5.80	25.78	10.38	5.20	4.49	2.97				
Median	32.93	1.77	26.00	4.61	0.67	0.54	0.27				
Traffic: 7 sequences											
Mean	19.83	25.07	12.83	1.80	7.75	0.61	0.58				
Median	19.55	23.79	11.45	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.00				
Articulated: 2 sequences											
Mean	16.85	7.25	21.38	2.71	21.08	1.60	1.42				
Median	16.85	7.25	21.38	2.71	21.08	1.60	0.00				
All: 35 sequences											
Mean	28.66	9.73	22.94	8.23	6.69	3.55	2.45				
Median	28.26	2.33	22.03	1.76	0.67	0.25	0.20				

Table 2 Classification errors (%) for sequences with 3 motions

Results: face clustering

• Ext YaleB faces



Sparse Subspace clustering: Claims

- Global sparse optimization
- Can deal with data points near the intersections
- Can deal with noise, outlying / missing entries
- Don't require dimension / number of subspaces

Achieves/outperforms state-of-the-art results in

- segmentation of rigid-body motions
- clustering of face images
- temporal segmentation of videos

References

- 1. E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal, "Sparse subspace clustering," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2009. CVPR 2009. IEEE Conference on,* Miami, FL, 2009, pp. 2790-2797. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206547
- E. Elhamifar and R. Vidal, "Sparse Subspace Clustering: Algorithm, Theory, and Applications," in *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 2765-2781, Nov. 2013. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2013.57
- 3. <u>http://www.ccs.neu.edu/home/eelhami/cvpr15tutorial_files/Elhamifar_presentation_cvpr15.pdf</u>
- 4. <u>http://cis.jhu.edu/~rvidal/publications/SPM-Tutorial-Final.pdf</u>
- 5. <u>http://www.math.umn.edu/~lerman/Meetings/SIAM12_Ehsan.pdf</u>
- 6. <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1203.1005.pdf</u>

